



# Employment Court of New Zealand

You are here: [NZLII](#) >> [Databases](#) >> [Employment Court of New Zealand](#) >> [2020](#) >> [\[2020\] NZEmpC 162](#)

[Database Search](#) | [Name Search](#) | [Recent Decisions](#) | [Noteup](#) | [LawCite](#) | [Download](#) | [Help](#)

---

## KAG v HST [2020] NZEmpC 162 (13 October 2020)

Last Updated: 16 October 2020

IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND CHRISTCHURCH

I TE KŌTI TAKE MAHI O AOTEAROA ŌTAUTAHI

[\[2020\] NZEmpC 162](#)

EMPC 48/2020

IN THE MATTER OF	a challenge to a determination of the Employment Relations Authority
BETWEEN	KAG Plaintiff
AND	HST Defendant

Hearing: On the papers

Appearances: J Lovely, counsel for KAG  
A Riches, counsel for HST

Judgment: 13 October 2020

### CONSENT JUDGMENT OF JUDGE K G SMITH

[1] The parties to this proceeding have reached agreement about how it should be resolved.

[2] The following orders are made by consent:

(a) The Authority's determinations are set aside.<sup>1</sup>

(b) Pursuant to cl 12 of sch 3 to the [Employment Relations Act 2000](#) there is an order prohibiting the publication of the names of the parties or any

1. *HST v KAG* [\[2020\] NZERA 33 \(Member van Keulen\)](#); and *HST v KAG* [\[2020\] NZERA 160 \(Member van Keulen\)](#).

KAG v HST [\[2020\] NZEmpC 162](#) [13 October 2020]

information that may identify them. For the avoidance of doubt, non- publication orders made by the Authority continue to apply.

(c) Funds paid by KAG to the Registrar of this Court to satisfy an order staying execution of the Authority's determination are to be returned to it together with any accumulated interest.

(d) There is no order as to costs.

K G Smith Judge

Judgment signed at 2 pm on 13 October 2020

---