



New Zealand Employment Relations Authority Decisions

You are here: [NZLII](#) >> [Databases](#) >> [New Zealand Employment Relations Authority Decisions](#) >> [2018](#) >> [2018] NZERA 46

[Database Search](#) | [Name Search](#) | [Recent Decisions](#) | [Noteup](#) | [LawCite](#) | [Download](#) | [Help](#)

Hines v Eastland Port Limited (Auckland) [2018] NZERA 46; [2018] NZERA Auckland 46 (12 February 2018)

Last Updated: 21 February 2018

IN THE EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS AUTHORITY AUCKLAND

[2018] NZERA Auckland 46
3024213

BETWEEN PAUL HINES Applicant

A N D EASTLAND PORT LIMITED Respondent

Member of Authority: T G Tetitaha

Representatives: P McBride, Counsel for the Applicant

L Brown, Counsel for the Respondent

Investigation Meeting: On the papers

Submissions received: 30 January 2018 from applicant

7 February 2018 from respondent

Date of Determination: 12 February 2018

DETERMINATION OF THE AUTHORITY

A. The application for removal is dismissed. Costs are reserved. Employment Relations Problem

[1] I have issued a determination regarding the dismissal of Captain Paul Hines. I found the dismissal to be justified (the dismissal determination).¹ I adjourned several disadvantages raised by Captain Hines for the purposes of issuing a written determination about these within the statutory timeframe.

[2] Captain Hines has appealed the dismissal determination. He now seeks removal of the remaining disadvantage grievances to the Court. This is on the grounds it has the same parties and similar issues before it; to avoid embarrassment between the Authority and the Court in considering the same issues; public interest

and urgency. The respondent does not object to the removal.

¹ *Paul Hines v Eastland Port Limited* [2018] NZERA Auckland 7.

Removal to Court

[3] The grounds for removal of a matter from the Authority to the Court are set out in [s178](#) of the [Employment Relations Act 2000](#).

[4] I accept there may be grounds under [s178\(2\)\(c\)](#) for removal because of the appeal of the dismissal determination. However the decision to remove is discretionary. I may also decline to remove a matter.

[5] I have determined to decline to remove this matter because:

a) I am fully seized of all the evidence and submissions about this matter;

b) It is not in the public interest to have expended such a large amount of time and money on hearing this matter without any outcome;

c) My reasoning pertaining to the disadvantages determination will assist the Court in its consideration of the challenge to the dismissal determination if it intends considering the same facts;

d) I intend issuing the disadvantage determination at the same time as dealing with this application. There is no issue of urgency;

e) If dissatisfied, the parties have a right of appeal/challenge.

[6] The application for removal is dismissed. Costs are reserved.

T G Tetitaha

Member of the Employment Relations Authority

NZLII: [Copyright Policy](#) | [Disclaimers](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Feedback](#)

URL: <http://www.nzlii.org/nz/cases/NZERA/2018/46.html>