

to have these monies plus a \$1,000 payment said to be an advance on wages to Mrs Dunnett (who is not a party to these proceedings) deducted from the payments owing to Mr Dunnett.

[6] In the substantive determination I found that a right to set off from wages had been uniformly found to be unsuccessful because of the provisions of the Wages Protection Act 1964. Mr Dunnett had not given his consent to deductions.

[7] The respondent is to pay Mr Dunnett the sum of \$1,386.24 nett in holiday pay and \$2,000 gross in unpaid wages and payment in lieu of notice.

Dzintra King

Member of the Employment Relations Authority