



Employment Court of New Zealand

You are here: [NZLII](#) >> [Databases](#) >> [Employment Court of New Zealand](#) >> [2017](#) >> [\[2017\] NZEmpC 9](#)

[Database Search](#) | [Name Search](#) | [Recent Decisions](#) | [Noteup](#) | [LawCite](#) | [Download](#) | [Help](#)

Cooper v CMP Canterbury Limited [2017] NZEmpC 9 (13 February 2017)

Last Updated: 17 February 2017

IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT CHRISTCHURCH

[\[2017\] NZEmpC 9](#)

EMPC 5/2016

IN THE MATTER OF a challenge to a determination of
 the
 Employment Relations Authority

BETWEEN ERU COOPER, JR Plaintiff

AND CMP CANTERBURY LIMITED
 Defendant

Hearing: 10, 16, 23 and 30 June 2016 (Judicial Settlement
 Conference
 held at Christchurch and subsequently by telephone)
 By memoranda filed on 13 September and 22 November
 2016

Appearances: P Moore, advocate for plaintiff
 A Shaw, counsel for defendant

Judgment: 13 February 2017

CONSENT JUDGMENT OF JUDGE K G SMITH

[1] This proceeding is a challenge to a determination of the Employment

Relations Authority (Authority) dated 8 December 2015.¹

[2] At a judicial settlement conference held on 10 June 2016, and continued subsequently by telephone, the parties have resolved all issues between them arising from that determination.

[3] Pursuant to [s 183\(2\)](#) of the [Employment Relations Act 2000](#) (the Act), and by consent, the determination of the Authority is set aside.

¹ *Cooper v CMP Canterbury Ltd* [2015] NZERA Christchurch 193.

ERU COOPER, JR v CMP CANTERBURY LIMITED NZEmpC CHRISTCHURCH [\[2017\] NZEmpC 9](#) [13

February 2017]

[4] The remaining terms of settlement are confidential to the plaintiff and defendant and, I direct, are now the subject of a non-publication order pursuant to cl 12(2) of sch 3 to the Act.

[5] There are no issues as to costs.

KG Smith

Judge

Judgment signed at 12.30 pm on 13 February 2017

