



Employment Court of New Zealand

You are here: [NZLII](#) >> [Databases](#) >> [Employment Court of New Zealand](#) >> [2020](#) >> [2020] NZEmpC 161

[Database Search](#) | [Name Search](#) | [Recent Decisions](#) | [Noteup](#) | [LawCite](#) | [Download](#) | [Help](#)

Anderson v Righteous Law Limited [2020] NZEmpC 161 (12 October 2020)

Last Updated: 15 October 2020

IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND AUCKLAND

I TE KŌTI TAKE MAHI O AOTEAROA TĀMAKI MAKĀURAU

[\[2020\] NZEmpC 161](#)

EMPC 216/2020

IN THE MATTER OF an application for leave to remove a
 matter to the Employment Court
AND IN THE MATTER OF an application to adjourn a hearing
BETWEEN ZINA MONICA ANDERSON
 Applicant
AND RIGHTEOUS LAW LIMITED
 Respondent

Hearing: On the papers
Appearances: Maria Dew QC, counsel for applicant
 R Scott and J Zhuang, counsel for
 respondent
Judgment: 12 October 2020

INTERLOCUTORY JUDGMENT OF CHIEF JUDGE CHRISTINA INGLIS

(Application to adjourn a hearing)

[1] These proceedings are set down for an interlocutory hearing on 15 October 2020. The applicant, who was previously acting on her own behalf, has recently instructed Ms Dew QC. An adjournment of the upcoming fixture is sought to enable an application for legal aid to be advanced and, if legal aid is granted, for advice and instructions to be provided.

[2] The respondent does not object to the application for adjournment but does not consider that the period of adjournment sought (namely eight weeks) is necessary.

ZINA MONICA ANDERSON v RIGHTEOUS LAW LIMITED [\[2020\] NZEmpC 161](#) [12 October 2020]

[3] In the circumstances, it is appropriate that the adjournment be granted. If the steps identified by Ms Dew can be completed within an eight-week period, the Registrar should be advised and a directions conference convened. If not, a conference should be scheduled once the eight-week period has expired.

[4] Costs are reserved.

Christina Inglis Chief Judge

Judgment signed at 11 am on 12 October 2020