



New Zealand Employment Relations Authority Decisions

You are here: [NZLII](#) >> [Databases](#) >> [New Zealand Employment Relations Authority Decisions](#) >> [2018](#) >> [2018] NZERA 256

[Database Search](#) | [Name Search](#) | [Recent Decisions](#) | [Noteup](#) | [LawCite](#) | [Download](#) | [Help](#)

A Labour Inspector v Singh (Auckland) [2018] NZERA 256; [2018] NZERA Auckland 256 (15 August 2018)

Last Updated: 14 September 2018

IN THE EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS AUTHORITY AUCKLAND

[2018] NZERA Auckland 256
3004596

BETWEEN A LABOUR INSPECTOR Applicant

AND JUJHAR SINGH Respondent

Member of Authority: Andrew Dallas

Representatives: Marija Urlich, counsel for the Applicant

Peter Broad, counsel for the Respondent

Investigation Meeting: 15 August 2018

Date of Determination: 15 August 2018

CONSENT DETERMINATION

Employment relationship problem

[1] On 7 March 2017, a Labour Inspector, Tasnem Begum lodged a statement of problem seeking to join Jujhar Singh under s 234 of the Employment Relations Act

2000, as it was then, to a determination of the Authority.¹ This application was

brought ostensibly because Binde Enterprises Limited was placed into voluntary liquidation by Mr Singh, its sole director.

[2] An initial investigation meeting to consider the Labour Inspector's application was adjourned by consent on 9 August 2017 pending the outcome of discussions between Binde's liquidator and the Department of Inland Revenue over a tax refund

said to the owed to Binde.

¹ *A Labour Inspector v Binde Enterprises Limited* [2016] NZERA Auckland 399. See also, *A Labour*

Inspector v Singh [2018] NZERA Auckland 60.

[3] The liquidator subsequently paid the Labour Inspector \$88,845.79. Despite further discussions between the Labour Inspector and Mr Singh, no agreement could be reached over the payment of the balance of \$119,338.37.

[4] Due the effluxion of time, when the matter came before the Authority again today it was prosecuted by Labour Inspector, Katriona Ikenasio.

[5] At the request of the parties, this consent determination records that Mr Singh no longer opposed the Labour Inspector's application for leave under s 234 of the Act. That being the case the Authority granted the order sought. The effect of the order is that Mr Singh is joined to Authority determination *A Labour Inspector v Binde Enterprises Limited* and, as a consequence, is jointly and severally liable with Binde

for the outstanding arrears of \$119,338.37.² However, it is clear from the evidence the

payment by the liquidator exhausted Binde's funds and Mr Singh will need to pay the outstanding arrears, personally.

[6] This determination is enforceable under s 137(1)(b) of the Act.

Next steps

[7] The following issue, which could not be agreed between the parties, is reserved for further determination by the Authority:

(i) A timetable for payment of the outstanding arrears of \$119,338.37

Costs

[8] Costs are reserved pending disposal of all matters before the Authority.

Andrew Dallas

Member of the Employment Relations Authority

2 See, *Brill v Labour Inspector* [\[2016\] NZCA 169 \(CA\)](#) at [\[17\]](#)

NZLII: [Copyright Policy](#) | [Disclaimers](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Feedback](#)

URL: <http://www.nzlii.org/nz/cases/NZERA/2018/256.html>